

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/702,764	11/01/2000	Makoto Sato	862.C2041	9396
5514 75	90 05/25/2004		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			WU, JINGGE	
NEW YORK, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2623	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

}	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/702,764	SATO ET AL.
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jingge Wu	2623 ·
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this application and application and the second applications are supplied an application and applications are supplied as a second application and application are supplied as a second application and applications are supplied as a second application and applications are supplied as a second application and applications are supplied as a second application and application and application application and application are supplied as a second application are supplied as a second application are supplied as a second application and application are supplied as a second application and application are supplied as a second application and application are supplied as a second application are supplied as a second application are supplied as a	cation. A proper reply to a children ch
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:	
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: the changse in claims 10 and 20 are rais	sed new issues .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	;	
Claim(s) allowed: 7,17 and 27.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-2, 4-6, 8-12, 18-22, 24-26, and	<u>28</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·
10. Other:		
	MIN	
	100/	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 8



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) Andrew clearly teaches the "computing products of the corrected quantization indices and quantization step" in page 46 lines 23+ see the inverse quantization equation. The inverse quantization is the dequatization, Andrew's equation may be also read on the correction value r=q/2, where d<0, inverse quatization value c = qXd-q/2; d=0, C= qXd; and q>0 C=qxd+q/2; and 2) Matuura is only cited to show selecting different correction values for quantization indices even though it is different from the specification of the application, it is read on the claim language...